

Proposal Title :	Miscellane	eous Amendments to	o Gosford LEP 2014	
Proposal Summa	Environmo • Tempora • Tempora • Developr • Lots part • Definition	The planning proposal seeks to either amend or introduce provisions in Gosford Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2014 related to: • Temporary Use of Land; • Temporary Use of Public Land; • Development of Closed Crown Roads; • Lots partially affected by Gosford LEP 2014; • Definition of 'flood planning level'; and • Permit 'storage premises' in the IN1 Land Use Table.		
PP Number :	PP_2014_	GOSFO_002_00	Dop File No :	14/04325
oposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Receive	18-Mar-2014	l	LGA covered :	Gosford
Region :	Hunter		RPA :	Gosford City Council
State Electorate :	GOSFORD TERRIGAL THE ENTRA	NCE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type	Housekeepi	ng		
ocation Details.				
Street :	Various			
Suburb :		City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel :	LGA wide applic	ation		
DoP Planning C	Officer Contac	t Details		
Contact Name :	Glenn Horna	al		
Contact Number :	0243485009			
Contact Email :	glenn.horna	glenn.hornal@planning.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact De	etails			
Contact Name :	Bruce Rona	n		
Contact Number :	0243258176			
Contact Email :	bruce.ronan	@gosford.nsw.gov.	au	
DoP Project Ma	nager Contac	t Details		
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				

## Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Central Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
upporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	and although the matters in t	Lots partially affected by Gosford the planning proposal are consid he clause would not be consister	ered to be of a local level of
	clarification regarding the in	ovide additional information relat clusion of lots partially affected b ed on 18 March 2014 and 26 Marc	y Gosford LEP 2014 clause
External Supporting Notes :			

# Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : Council has stated in the planning proposal that it seeks to address issues and rectify anomalies in Gosford LEP 2014.

### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : Council has identified the provisions it seeks to amend or include as follows:

• Clause 2.8 Temporary Use of Land; Council seeks to increase maximum period development consent may be granted for the temporary use of land from 14 to 28 days. Council has stated this will provide more development opportunities.

The increase in time is supported however the planning proposal should include some discussion on why 28 days is the preferred number of days as opposed to other alternative timeframes given it is Council's intention to provide more development opportunities.

• Temporary Use of Public Land; Council proposes to introduce a new clause to Schedule 2 Exempt Development for 'Temporary events or activities on public land or public spaces' and include in the dictionary of Gosford LEP 2014 the definition "public place has the same meaning as in the Local Government Act 1993".

Council's clause identifies the type of event, activities and criteria as exempt development however it does not impose a time constraint on the temporary use of public land. Council should identify an appropriate maximum timeframe in order for the community to reasonably expect uses on public land would be limited under exempt development or require development consent for longer periods.

The inclusion in the dictionary is not necessary and the definition of public place could be included in the exempt development clause i.e. public place in this clause has the same meaning under the Local Government Act 1993. However this matter can be addressed during legal drafting of the instrument.

Other examples of provisions to achieve similar objectives are found in Hornsby LEP 2013 (where temporary use of public lands is exempt development) and Newcastle LEP 2012 (where temporary use of public land is development without consent (clause 6.6)).

Council has included a reference to SEPP Temporary Structures 2007 as not to apply to the clause. The SEPP Temporary Structures 2007 is now known as SEPP(Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007. Council should update the planning proposal for exhibition with the correct reference to SEPP(Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007.

Development of Closed Crown Roads;

Council seeks to introduce a new provision "Crown Road Closures in certain rural and environmental zones".

Council has advised the sale of Closed Crown Roads by the Department of Trade and Investment as an individual lot with its own title are generally being bought by adjoining land owners. The boundaries of the closed roads are being realigned with the adjoining lot through the development application process to obtain 2 usable lots. Council wishes to prevent the creation of undersized lots with a dwelling entitlement and proposes to prohibit residential accommodation on a lot created from the closure of crown roads.

Although Council's position is supported, the proposal should state it would apply only to undersized lots below the MLS created by the boundary adjustment otherwise it would prohibit all lots created from the closure of crown roads even if it met the minimum lot size. Council should update the explanation of provisions accordingly.

Council's proposed clause is a variation of the model clause "Erection of dwelling houses on land in certain rural and environmental protection zones." While the intent is supported the clause may be varied during legal drafting.

• Lots partially affected by Gosford LEP 2014;

Due to the deferral of some parts of the LGA from Gosford LEP 2014 some lots are partially affected by 2 planning instruments i.e. Gosford LEP 2014 and IDO No 122.

Council has advised there is potential for landowners to subdivide these lots along the instrument boundary and comply with the minimum lot size in Gosford LEP 2014 but the residual lot will be below the minimum lot size in the IDO No 122. Council has stated

provisions in the IDO No 122 create legal rights and enable Council to approve a dwelling on the undersized lot for 7(a) land.

Council's approach seeks to include a provision which applies to partially affected lots and states:

"Where a parcel of land, partially affected by this Plan, is subdivided; the lot resulting from this subdivision is not able to be developed if the size of the lot is less than the minimum lot size shown on the Lot Size Map."

This clause however would only limit development on undersized lots in the Gosford LEP 2014 not in the other instrument and it is not clear how this would limit undersized lots on 7(a) land in IDO No 122 resulting from the subdivision.

Council was asked to clarify the need for and the practicality of the clause's inclusion however is yet to provide satisfactory justification for its inclusion in the Gosford LEP 2014. It is unclear how undersized lots in IDO No 122 can be created and if they can it would be more appropriate to limit undersized lots with a dwelling entitlement via a provision in IDO No 122 rather than Gosford LEP 2014. Council should remove the clause from the planning proposal.

#### Definition of 'flood planning level';

Council has advised it seeks to rely on the definition of 'flood planning levels' under the Floodplain Development Manual 2005 and would prefer to delete the reference to 'flood planning level' (means the level of a 1:100 ARI (average recurrent interval) flood event plus 0.5 metre freeboard) in the dictionary of Gosford LEP 2014.

There is no objection on policy grounds provided Council does not provide flood related development controls beyond the 1:100 flood level plus freeboard. Council should clarify this is the case and update the planning proposal accordingly to ensure consistency with S117 Direction Flood Prone Land.

#### IN1 Land Use Table

Council has advised that under the previous equivalent zone 4(a) Industrial (General) zone 'storage premises' were a permitted use subject to consent.

There was no definition of 'storage premises' in the Gosford Planning Scheme Ordinance however warehouses were permitted with consent in the 4(a) Industrial (General) land use table.

Storage premises and warehouses are separately defined under the standard instrument and Gosford LEP 2014 prohibited storage premises in the IN1 zone. Council seek to correct this inconsistency and include 'storage premises' as a permissible use in the IN1 zone.

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Unknown

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	Council hasn't listed the SEPP (Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007 but as discussed earlier, it will be affected by the proposed temporary use of public land provision.
Have inconsistencies wit	h items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? <b>Unknown</b>
lf No, explain :	Discussion on the S117 Directions and SEPPs is provided later in the report.
Mapping Provided - s	55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided? No	
Comment :	Council has advised there are no maps required for the proposed amendments.
Community consulta	tion - s55(2)(e)
Has community consultat	tion been proposed? <b>Yes</b>
Comment :	Council has advised the proposal will involve an exhibition period of 14 or 28 days. A 14 day exhibition period is considered sufficient.
Additional Director G	eneral's requirements
Are there any additional [	Director General's requirements? <b>Yes</b>
If Yes, reasons :	PROJECT TIMELINE Council's timeline anticipates finalisation of the planning proposal in July 2014. Council has advised it seeks to expedite the planning proposal within 3 months due to the operational importance of the temporary use of public land clause. It is recommended Council's request for a 3 month timeframe to complete the plan be supported.
	DELEGATION AUTHORISATION Council has accepted plan-making delegations for planning proposals generally however resolved to not seek delegations to make this plan. The proposal relates to minor local matters and it is recommended Council be granted delegation to make the plan.
Overall adequacy of t	he proposal
Does the proposal meet t	the adequacy criteria? <b>Yes</b>
If No, comment :	
Proposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : February 201	4
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Gosford Local Environmental Plan 2014 (Gosford LEP) was notified on 11 February 2014.
Assessment Criteria	
Need for planning proposal :	Council has advised the planning proposal is not the result of a specific strategic study or report and seeks to address matters that have arisen since the Gosford LEP 2014 was exhibited.

Consistency with	CENTRAL COAST	REGIONAL	STRATEGY (CCRS)		
strategic planning framework :	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (CCRS) Council has advised the amendments proposed relate to minor operational issues and do not consider the proposal to be inconsistent with the intent and purpose of the CCRS.				
	LOCAL STRATEGIES Council has advised The Community Strategic Plan - Gosford 2025 applies to the subject land however is not specifically inconsistent with this Plan.				
	Council has advise particular SEPPs t however matters r	ed no SEPP hat are cons equiring col	ANNING POLICIES (SEPPs) s have application to the plan sidered to be inconsistent wit nsideration may occur at the o i.e. SEPP 71 Coastal Protecti	h the amendments proposed development application stage	
	S117 DIRECTIONS The planning prop below.		sistent with all relevant directi	ons except where discussed	
	flood related deve residential develop 'flood planning lev	opment cor oment on la el' is consis	rection applies as the planning atrols above the residential flo nd. Council should clarify th atent with the requirements of e the flood planning levels.	od planning level for	
	prone. Council ha requires the RPA r Gateway Determin	osal has LG s indicated nust consul ation. Cons		vice following receipt of a	
Environmental social economic impacts :	Environmental Council has advised as the provisions are generic they do not impact on areas of environmental significance.				
	result from additio	e increase i nal develop	n economic activity and empl ment opportunities from the i onal permissibility of 'storage		
ssessment Proces	SS				
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Fimeframe to make .EP :	3 months		Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) d) :	NSW Rural Fire Se Other	rvice			
s Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No			

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

### No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public	
Council Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes	
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council Resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Council Report.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Timeline.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Flooding Policy Position Email.pdf	Study	No	
Additional information - Lots Partially affected by	Proposal	No	
Gosford LEP 2014.pdf			

## Planning Team Recommendation

S.117 directions	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Additional Information :	It is considered the planning proposal should proceed subject to the following:
	1. Council update the 'explanation of provisions' and the planning proposal to:
	<ul> <li>-include discussion on why 28 days is the preferred number of days as opposed to othe timeframes in relation to Clause 2.8 Temporary Use of Land;</li> </ul>
	-include discussion on limiting the number of days for Temporary Use of Public land;
	-delete the reference to SEPP Temporary Structures 2007 and replace with SEPP
	(Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007;
	-in regard to Development of Closed Crown Roads the proposal should state that
	residential accommodation would be prohibited on undersized lots that are below the MLS created by the boundary adjustment.
	-Council remove the clause 'Lots partially affected by Gosford LEP 2014' from the planning proposal.
	- Council include a statement explaining the clauses identified in the explanation of
	provisions may be modified during legal drafting of the instrument.
	2. Council is required to demonstrate consistency with the following S117 Directions aft
	supporting information has been obtained and/or following agency consultation and
	update the planning proposal accordingly:
	- 4.3 Flood Prone Land
	- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection - NSW Rural Fire Service
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities:

cellaneous Amendr	nents to Gosford LEP 2014	
	- NSW Rural Fire Service	
	- Department of Trade & Investment - Crown Lands	
	4. The planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days.	
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 3 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.	
	6. Council be granted delegation to make the plan.	
Supporting Reasons :	*	
Signature:	GPUOPKINS Date: 28.3.2014	
Printed Name:	040141NJ Date: 20.3.2014	